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View of Scott Street from the corner of Cross Street to the corner of Harris street, June 20, 1996.
Above. North-west corner of the building after the demolition of the roof and upper floor. June 20, 1996. The shop windows were boarded up by City West Development Corporation in 1994.

Below. Detail. The plinth stones and rubble coursed foundations below. The shop window near the corner door had been bricked up, the upper part ‘bricked up’ with bottles. The shop window (left) partly of cedar.
Introduction

The subject of this report was a shop and residence located on the corner of Harris and Scott Streets. It was a typical corner shop with corner entrance and classic shop window arrangements, reminiscent of those seen in the early 1870s Holtermann Collection of photographs. The upper storey would have been used as a residence. The structure was actually two shops on different levels but was constructed as one building.

The original concept was to adapt the building, according to heritage guidelines consistent with the Heritage Act of New South Wales (1977, with amendments) for the purpose of serving as a police station.

This report records the events of the site from June 20, soon after the demolition of the upper floor, until August 9, 1996 when the old foundations were grubbed out and concrete piers were being installed. As there was limited funding for this report, the correspondence regarding the site is included in the appendices to broaden the scope of the documentation.

In part, number 52 Harris Street received attention in two or three previous reports: one report on the Scott and Cross Street terraces, April 1995 and a sample excavation report to determine the stratigraphy of 52 Harris Street and 1 Cross Street, October 1995, both reports were by Wendy Thorp, archaeologist. These reports highlighted the requirements of the Heritage Act of New South Wales (1977) in regard to any changes made to the fabric and the archaeology of the site.

The April 1995 Thorp report states:

'At this time the only immediate work is for the former shop and residence at the corner of Harris and Scott Streets. This is to be adapted for use as a community police station. Apart from the stabilization and adaptation of the above ground structure it is anticipated that the work will require disturbance to the sub-floor spaces (due to the need for a new floor and possibly improve ventilation) and the excavation of some service trenches.' (Item 6.3).
Of the above-ground structures, the report continued:

‘Specific recommendations for the preservation and restoration of the above ground buildings have been presented in the Conservation Plan for the site (Schwager Brooks, 1994, Section 7.4). An additional recommendation that has bearing for archaeological purposes is the need to record fabric that is revealed and removed as part of the renovation and adaptation programme’.

‘This is a principle expressed in the Burra Charter.....an above ground and intact structure is as much an “archaeological” relic as a fragmentary below ground structure......Removal without recording of structural or other evidence from the buildings is likely to result in the loss of archival evidence particularly pertinent to the later nineteenth century development of the site.’ (Section 6.4.2).

Further:

‘...works that disturb the surface of the ground should also be monitored in these areas for the purpose of recording additional structural evidence, cultural deposits or assemblages.’ (Section 6.4.4).

‘...any work which disturbs the surface of the ground will require monitoring and recording as necessary.’ (Section 6.4.5, p 22).

‘renovation, restoration and adaptation of the extant buildings which requires intervention and/or removal of existing fabric should be accompanied by an ongoing recording programme.’ (Section 6.4.5, p 23).

‘All archaeological work on this site will require application to be made to the Heritage Council of NSW for an Excavation Permit.’ (Section 6.4.5, p 23).

This report is an outcome of the above recommendations, though the extent of the fire damage, the state of the foundations, the demolitions and disturbance to underground deposits could not have been anticipated at the time the earlier reports were written:

‘Apart from the stabilization and adaptation of the above ground structure it is anticipated that the work will require disturbance to the sub-floor spaces (due to the need for a new floor and possibly improve ventilation) and the excavation of some service trenches.’ (Item 6.3).
Demolition Stage 1. June 20, 1996. View from the south-east looking toward the north-west; taken from Scott Street. The plan had been to remove the roof. It was at this stage that the archaeologist was called in.

Demolition Stage 3. July 21, 1996. View from the south-east looking toward the north-west; taken from Scott Street. The demolition rubble and protective sand layer had been removed so that the archaeologist could examine the site to assess early site features and stratigraphy.

Demolition Stage 4. August 8, 1996. An engineer found that the massive foundation did not rest on bedrock, so the foundations were grubbed out in favour of concrete piers. A fraction of the stratigraphy survived.
The stabilization, adaptation with some disturbance to underfloor spaces and some service trenches turned into what eventually amounted to full-scale demolition and the loss of the stratigraphy. The events which led to this situation were complex and occurred in stages.

After the removal of the roof, the former owners, City West Development Corporation urged the new owners, Dennis & Dennis Investments Pty Ltd to demolish the upper floor of the building and contributed to the costs of this and further demolition. The structure had been weakened by fire. At the time the City West Development Corporation was installing services 0.5 m away from the building and since the building was judged to be unsafe, work could not proceed until the building had come down. Dennis & Dennis Investments had every intention to restore the building but an engineer's report had suggested that this was impossible. Soon after the first floor was demolished, the Department of Planning and Urban Affairs called Dennis and Dennis Investments seeking an explanation but found that the City West Development had given the order for demolition, (pers. comm. Mr Dennis Lidis).

The author of this report was called in at short notice, soon after the roof and upper storey had been demolished. The subsequent events are recorded in the section entitled 'Site Transactions'. The author of this report was under the impression that approval had been gained in regard to the Heritage Act of New South Wales (1977).

As it was a requirement of law to have such site disturbance supervised, recorded and relics retrieved, the supervision, recording and retrieval of stratigraphic material took place. Representatives of the site owners were urged to find place for the larger items retrieved on site, such as the 1870s shop window, some large slabs of stone etc. This form of site management was not an ideal one because of the circumstances and little provision had been made in the budget for the Heritage Act requirements of the site and for the storage of significant items from the site.

The commission was a verbal one by Dennis & Dennis Investments Pty Ltd, under urgent circumstances, and recording, supervision, retrieval of artefacts, basic processing with report was done according to the guidelines required by law under the Heritage Act and, as it happened, according to the heritage reports and Schwager Brooks Conservation Plan (commissioned by City West Development Corporation).
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Statement of Significance

Comprehensive statements of significance are to be found in the several reports on the area written between 1993 and 1995.

A working class area corner shop of the late 1870s of conservative design. It was a memorial to the working class people of the area, its rôle changing with the fortunes of the area until it became a 'squat' from the 1970s.

The shop was an important element in the streetscape of lower Harris Street and Scott Street, a rare survival of urban architecture for the working class by absentee landlords.

Although of conservative design, the building materials were of the latest mass-production types. In particular, the wire-drawn nails and dry-press brick manufacture, the latter of which came to be accepted in Sydney during the decade the house was built.

Methodology

Under ideal circumstances a site should be first thoroughly researched and then analysed, so that special features may be predicted or at least interpreted when they come to light. The archaeologist had to keep ahead of events. Fortunately a historical outline had been written in the reports of Wendy Thorp but there was a delay in getting a copy. As this was what could be termed an 'emergency' site from the point of view of the archaeologist, the methodology was basically at the mercy of building schedules.
Corner Harris and Scott Streets. View from the corner doorstep. The site after the remaining walls and shop windows (left and foreground) had been pushed into the foundation area. July 15, 1996.
The first step was to analyse the building materials to obtain dates and establish a phasing. As soon as it became clear that the site was to be destroyed, test trenches were sunk so that suspected early remains could be worked in as part of the new structure or, at least, that a representative of early deposits could be retrieved before destruction. The results contradicted some of the earlier findings predicted for the site.

The site and stratigraphy were recorded by drawing and photographically. Artefacts were cleaned and bagged according to area and category on the basis of material compatibility (for example; no metals with other categories, no metal mixes such as copper and iron together, and leather bagged alone).

The demolition, as required was archaeologically supervised and material retrieved where ever possible. All large items, such as shop window fragments and stone slabs were left on site.
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Historical Outline

The following has been culled from the research found in the report of Wendy Thorp (April 1995). There are anomalies in the sources where, for example, some houses built were demolished within a few years of erection:

No 46 Harris Street. Built between 1854 and 1858 but demolished in 1859. Site re-occupied 1878/1879.


No 52 Harris Street. Built around 1869, demolished about 1871.

For No 52, at least, no evidence was found of a previous structure in the footings of the circa 1879 building or in the stratigraphy during the initial examination or during the removal of the footings. It is strongly suspected that there was a fault in the documents used. An entry or omission in the Sands' Directory need not imply anything more than the clerk who collected or collated the entries used the wrong numbers or just forgot to put them in. In at least one case the Sands' Directory was reprinted using the previous years' data without any change. In another case whole entries were swapped and ended up under headings applicable to another entry. One cannot assume that a house was built or demolished on the basis of the Sands Directory alone. More likely, house numbers were not always stable. Street numbers may have changed two or three times over the years in some streets.

CHRONOLOGY

1795. Grant to Thomas Jones.

Before 1799. Land to Obadiah Iken.

1799. John Macarthur, part of the Pyrmont Estate. Nearby hill had a mill (present Mill and Church Streets).
1830s. Land passed to Edward Macarthur. Macarthur attempted to sell the land in 1836, 1839 and 1840. The latter years were not good for real estate because the economy had collapsed. Macarthur would have decided to hold onto the land.

1840s-1853/1854. Various parcels of land were leased out. The stratigraphy of 52 suggests that a sandstone quarry was located nearby.

1854. George Wigram Allen of Glebe obtained a lease from the Macarthurs for 99 years at 120 pounds per annum.

1854-1858 George Wigram Allen sub-leased areas (some of the leases may have dated from before he obtained the 99 year lease).

1878/1879. There was a draper (Mr Ingram?) on or near the site as early as circa 1869 to 1871, as listed in Sands Directories. By this time a Mr Ingram had obtained a lease for No 50 and probably 52. Mr Ingram was listed as a draper when the house appeared in the directory for 1878/1879, he is very likely the same draper as was listed from about 1869 but lived elsewhere on the block.

The directories and the archaeology relating to the site suggest a late 1870s date for the construction of No 52. The building materials could not possibly be earlier than the 1870s.

1878/1879 to 1913. The place remained a drapery shop until about 1913. Quite a number of pins were found in the under-floor area.

1911 The title of the 99 year lease changed to the 'Camden Park Estate' but it remained an Allen family company until about 1940.

1913 to 1931 No 52 was operated by a hairdresser.

1931 to the late 1930s, thought to be vacant. These were the difficult years of the Great Depression, and people found they could cut their own hair at home without cost.

Late 1930s No 52 became part of the Waterside Workers Club.

From about 1940 to 1948 the property was taken over by Pyrmont Properties Pty Ltd. The company tried to seek permission to demolish the building but they were unsuccessful.

1948. No 52 was taken over by the local Council. The house was occupied until the early 1970s.

1978 to 1984 squatters took over the place but were eventually evicted through a Court Order.
1984. No 52 was Classified by the National Trust of Australia (NSW).


1994. The property was fenced and the building boarded up by City West Development Corporation.

1997. No 52 was demolished and the foundations grubbed up to construct a new police station. Patches of the stratigraphy escaped this work.
N° 52. Obviously two units - with separate front and back doors and sharing a semi-detached toilet! One owner two leases; owner...
Earlier Structures

One other of the main tasks required was to locate signs of an earlier structure because the documentation seemed to suggest an earlier building on the site. Careful above-ground and below-ground examination, proved that the structure was built at one time on two levels.

The evidence of an earlier structure relating to No 50 Harris Street was found in 'ghost' form. On the north elevation on the ground floor, a number of vertically-located brick gaps were found in the mid section of the wall. It was quite clear that the wall was built against an earlier brick structure which must have been partly demolished when No 52 was built. The jagged ends of the bricks of the previous structure were incorporated into the new wall. The older structure was demolished at some stage, leaving an outline on the north elevation.

To make certain that the north foundation was not part of the earlier structure a trench was sunk along the wall down to pre-European levels. No evidence of two phases was found. This trench served a second purpose, to establish the stratigraphic sequence of the site. It was found to complement the findings of the trench of the south-east corner and the later earthworks on the site but not exactly as Wendy Thorp found in 1995 (possibly due to the peculiarities of the locations she chose for her two or three test squares).
Right. North foundation wall on the inside. Excavation before demolition. The foundation was found to be 1500mm deep but was still above bedrock. Here the foundation cut through the artefact dump layer, whereas on the south side the footings were partly on top of the dump layer. The upper end of the view shows the mid dividing wall, upper part demolished, which only extended 950 mm from the top of the north wall.

Below. Detail. Here the original site surface was reached at about 1300mm, a typical poor Sydney soil and subsoil profile. See description.
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Stratigraphic Sequence

The stratigraphic sequence was best represented along the north wall of the structure because it was of a greater depth than along the south and east walls. However, the sequence was the same in both places. See illustrated profiles. The measurements were taken from top of the stone wall, roughly the former floor level. Because the lines are straight in the illustration below, the levels are approximate only.

0mm----------------------------original floor level----------------
rubble from June/July 1996 demolition

450mm----------------------------floor level of north side rooms-----
rubble from June/July demolition

550mm--------------------------------sand deposit placed there to protect (?) the layers below.

520mm--------------------------------roof and upper storey demolition layer--roofing slate, laths from ceilings and ceiling plaster mixed in with 1940s to 1980s and later material--dark organic material from the attic.

750mm----------------------------original underfloor surface--------
dump and quarry tailings deposit---sandstone pieces, quarry chips, quarry sand mixed in with domestic material from a dump dating earlier than the house, hence pre-1878/1879---transfer printed ware, willow pattern, bottle glass, broken glasses, a few pipe stems, a number of shoe remains. Some of this material was churned up when the foundation was dug.

920mm----------------foundation base of the mid dividing wall--------
layer of sand under what was once a dump. The sand had probably washed onto the site from a near-by stone quarry.

1050mm--------------------------------a curious thin layer of what appeared to be stone lime mortar---very possibly from a construction site nearby where they mixed the mortar for brick or stone work.

1060mm--------------------------------a clayey sand layer introduced to the site, gradually integrated into the deposit below.

1180mm--------------------------------a coarse sand layer, probably originating from a stone quarry.

1300mm--------------------------------A very distinct layer of grey loamy soil--this would have been the original bush topsoil of pre-European times. The layer becomes lighter lower down and then is integrated into the rocky layer of the virgin sub-soil.

1500mm--------------------------------Rounded and flat stones of high iron content, typical of Sydney sub-soil---also burgundy-red sandstone from the bedrock found deeper down.
Artefacts

Artefacts recovered from site fall into two procedural levels.

(a) Material excavated according to level and change of stratigraphy as outlined in the site journal.

This material was bagged according to the stratigraphy in which the material was found. The material later had to be washed (except for leather) and sorted into artefact categories for conservation purposes.

A list of part of the material recovered is included in manuscript form along with a sample of what is to be found in the bags. As the site manager was not sympathetic particularly to this phase of the work, only the minimum was done after cleaning and sorting.

(b) Material recovered during the archaeological supervision of the mechanical excavation and grubbing out of the foundations on August 8 and 9, 1996.

Very strictly speaking, the material is without stratigraphic context. However, it is certain that 99% of the material came from the dump layer over which No 52 was built.

This material was also washed and re-bagged for conservation purposes.

If any of the excavated material is used for display purposes, each item must be labelled according to exact provenance. The artefacts have the potential to reveal aspects of the life in that area before 1878/1879 not otherwise recorded. It may also be helpful in dating other sites in Sydney because of the 1878/1879 cut-off date.

The bulk of the material dated between the 1850s and 1870s, though closer to the 1870s than the 1850s. Most of the material recovered was composed of glass or ceramics of British manufacture.
Very light + coarse sand = sand quarry origin (contains road)

ARTIFACTS REPRESENT:

GLASS: light brown glass fine oil bottle - base only. "N.2" - reused base
Blonde glass - wine bottle glass + several small fragments
" " - Dutch gin - shoulder
Moderate olive - probably wine

STONES
2 x ginger beer - good shale lip
1 x blackening jar - shoulder

STONE
1 x roof slate (small)
1 x writing slate - bevelled hard
Various signs

CERAMICS: generally
- tan body, clear glaze - jar base - moulded sides
- hard paste jug fragment - moulded inside - wheel marked on inside. Thick tinge under glaze. 2 x jugs
- hard paste basin rim
- various white ware
- transfer
- edge of brown ware plates on large plate
- side of light project blue transfer ware - leaf flower. Cabinet blue in theme.
- blue body high glaze
- fragment William Tillen
- 2 x purple transfer ware
  " blue ... "
  " brown " - poss jug
breakfast plate dark purple transfer ware - very good definition
52 HARDY 24 PIRANHA

LIST OF

BAG 1
- small mirror "wall mounted" surface
- small wooden mirror, looking glass
- sun dial lead gas pipe valve
- 1960s-1950s woodgrain tiles 2x. pinkish
- mother of pearl button - 2 holes
- patterned fabric

Note: fragments of window glass of late 1940s, bottle.

BAG 2
- plastic bag strap
- brass curtain ring
- wire window glass, nail
- large old style matchbook - mid 1940s
- gunmetal shell
- were taken in large match (in two pieces)
- control A. Australian pen SOS (canvass). (found in Martin "shredded" drawer).
- gunmetal (canvass) newspaper.

BAG 3
- N.W. room - large & small screens
- sample of plastic - 1 star.

BAG 4

BAG 5
- pins - typewriter leads (all early 1910)

25 July 1979
Above. View of No 52 Harris Street (corner of Scott Street) after the roof and upper floor demolition. June 20, 1996. Note the three shop windows: the one covered with corrugated iron had a doorway and display window of several cedar arches enframed by timber pilasters and a field above for signage, all as a single feature.

Below. View toward the west and former shop frontage after the structure was demolished to plinth level. The windows ended up as rubble. July 15, 1996.
Site Journal

17 June 1996

Call from Robyn Pengelli of Architectural Projects regarding meeting with Jennifer Hill. I was asked for and gave my low-medium category hourly rate. This would be the first site involvement for both Jennifer Hill and myself.

20 June 1996

Meeting at 52 Harris Street, Pyrmont with Jennifer Hill, Robyn Pengelli and staff of Architectural Projects of Studio 45, Surry Hills. Site discussion and inspection. Dennis & Dennis Investments Pty Ltd or City West Development Corporation (former owner) were not represented at this meeting as far as I was aware.

It was found that the contractors (?) had demolished the upper floor instead of just the roof. Jennifer thought the whole structure should go, considering the damage. An engineer had said that the building does not rest on bedrock.

I read the building materials and took notes. Inspected what was left of the structure. Dry-press bricks and (grey to yellowish) rock lime mortar. Collected nails for later analysis. The late 1870s shop window (very ‘Holtermann Collection’) was damaged but not beyond saving. The underfloor area was covered with sand to protect the archaeological deposits but over that the demolition material. Jennifer wanted an interpretative display based on recovered items, these would be retrieved from necessary trenches etc. After they left, I went over the site again and collected sample materials for analysis. There from 1.40 to 3.40.

Travelled from the Central Coast. Examined the materials later. Analysis suggested a late 1870s date. Recorded site meeting. Sent a fax to Jennifer giving a summary of my impressions. (see appendix I).

15 July 1996

Sent fax to Jennifer in the morning advising that a Section 60 would be required if they needed an excavation, depending on their arrangement
with the Heritage Branch. I requested documentation for the site (Wendy Thorp reports).

This second site meeting was with Dennis Lidis of Dennis & Dennis Investments Pty Ltd and Jennifer Hill with the builder present, Samir Jammal.

The problem of the demolition of the top floor was raised by Jennifer and myself. Only the roof was supposed to have been replaced (hopefully with Heritage Branch approval). However, the reason was stated to be that the upper walls had become unsafe after the demolition of the roof but no-one had been notified. (See Introduction for further background. The structure had been damaged by a fire).

Since the first site meeting on June 20, the walls had been demolished down to the plinth. Nothing of the timber work of the building was retrieved. The 1870s shop windows had been pushed into the building site with the surrounding walls and smashed to pieces. The arches of the window were made of cedar. Part of the stratigraphy of the back yard had been scooped away. The builder had received no clear instructions. Later took photographs and collected representative materials.

For this visit the archaeologist had been requested to examine the yards on the two sides of the building but demolition debris now covered the entire house and yard site. Pointed this out to those present and reminded them of the Heritage laws.

Problems seemed to be resolved regarding the mode of demolition and removal of materials between owner and builder. Further site examination and later office work. Travelled from the Central Coast. There from 1.30 to 3.30. Recorded site meeting and prepared a list of aspects needed to be done or clarified regarding excavation, heritage status of the site and management of building materials and the clearing of the site so a proper archaeological examination might take place. Faxed on the 16th. (See Appendix II)

18 July 1996:

Contacted urgently by Architectural Projects and a site meeting scheduled for this day was cancelled in favour of the 19th. Prepared a historical summary of the site so that sensitive areas could be predicted and historical notes made for the site meeting.
Above. South-east corner of No 52. It was discovered that the former skillion was added after the building had been completed. June 20, 1996. Note blocked windows and door to the right.

Below. South-east corner after demolition showing the air passage located under the former doorway. The large stone was reused from another site. Note the plinth stones (left), these were along the street elevations only.
Above: Prior to the foundations being removed this corner was excavated to determine the stratigraphy. A number of pins were found here relating possibly to the Draper’s period, 1870s-1913. A thick deposit had built up here from foundation level disturbance (quarry chips and dump material) mixed in with layers of coke. Mouse activity.

Below: Detail. Here the foundation from floor plate level was only 850mm deep. The artefact layer (former dump) was found to continue under the foundations.
No. 52 Harris Street, Pyrmont

South-East Room

South-East Corner

South Wall

Squarred - dressed plinth stone.

East Wall

This break in the wall is a large feature as ventilation for the 1867 skullion addition.

This stratigraphy continues under wall at about 800mm (as shown).

NB: Show stratigraphy in colour.
19 July 1996

Third site meeting: Jennifer Hill, Dennis Lidis with Samir Jammal. Received the second Wendy Thorp report (but no plans or stratigraphy). Sam (Sammir) had removed the demolition debris from over the building and the sand layer as requested last time, without destroying the plinth. Work had to be done as quickly as possible because the builder couldn’t be stalled.

After the meeting worked on the remains of the demolition. Put down trenches to determine the nature of door areas, south-east corner, north wall and determined the extent of the stratigraphy since it seemed that they were permitted to excavate the site. Later recorded the results.

Fax to Samir, as Dennis suggested, so that he would have my numbers to call me when the work was about to begin.

21 July 1996: (Sun): Emergency recording/excavation (along north wall) of areas of likely significance which might be destroyed. Drew up site trench.

Excavated along the north wall of the north-west room to a depth of 1500mm below the top of the wall, as I was requested to determine if the wall belonged to an earlier phase (Wendy Thorp theory of this building using the foundations of an earlier building). Full stratigraphic sequence found, starting with this month’s demolitions down to pre-settlement times. No evidence found of M/s Thorp’s ‘brick and slate’ building, though there was such a deposit but it related to the demolition of the roof. Cleared the top of the wall above to discern if that wall belonged to an earlier building.

Artefacts bagged according to stratigraphic context and later part of the material washed, sorted and bagged for conservation reasons.

22 July 1996

Final excavation. Excavated the south-east corner of the south-east room. A logical stratigraphic sequence was found. Reached 1150mm (measurements from the the inner ledge of the east wall). Large amount of artefacts going into pre-construction times, that is before the late
19th July 1996 cont.

Cleaned off most ledges - not much.

Stratigraphy

Recent rubble from demolition of walls.

Tinque pieces - bits of stone and lots of black soil from upper floor. Roof slate tiles mixed in.

ALCO plaster - 13500 phsy. Sandstone and crushed stone.

3.5 cm camber - shallow piece of 1500x750 cm. some glass at this layer.